| 1  | Kamala D. Harris   |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General LORETTA A. WEST Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 149294 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |  |
| 4  |  |  |  |
| 5  |  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |  |
| 7  | Telephone: (619) 645-2107 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061  |  |  |
| 8  | Attorneys for Complainant  |  |  |
| 9  | BEFORE THE   |  |  |
| 10 | BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS   |  |  |
|    | STATE OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3763   |  |  |
| 13 | CARMEN L. HARTMAN  |  |  |
| 14 | also known as CARMEN LINDA CALVIN also known as LINDA CALVIN A C C U S A T I O N   |  |  |
| 15 | 29729 Nandina Drive<br>Escondido, CA 92026   |  |  |
| 16 | Pharmacy Technician Registration<br>No. TCH 27285  |  |  |
| 17 | Respondent.  |  |  |
| 18 | <u></u>  |  |  |
| 19 | Complainant alleges:   |  |  |
| 20 | PARTIES  |  |  |
| 21 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity  |  |  |
| 22 | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.   |  |  |
| 23 | 2. On or about October 2, 1998, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician   |  |  |
| 24 | Registration Number TCH 27285 to Carmen L. Hartman, also known as Carmen Linda Calvin,   |  |  |
| 25 | also known as Linda Calvin (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in   |  |  |
| 26 | full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on   |  |  |
| 27 | September 30, 2012, unless renewed.  |  |  |
| 28 | 1//  |  |  |
|    | 1  |  |  |

3

## 11. Section 4059, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, or veterinarian. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, or veterinarian.

### 12. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

### 13. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- '(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use

impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States regulating controlled substances.

. . .

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

• • •

#### 14. Section 4324 of the Code states:

(a) Every person who signs the name of another, or of a fictitious person, or falsely makes, alters, forges, utters, publishes, passes, or attempts to pass, as genuine, any prescription for any drugs is guilty of forgery and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than one year.

///

19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

## **COST RECOVERY**

20. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

## **CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES**

- 21. Propoxyphene naphsylate and acetaminophen, also known by the brand name Darvocet, is a schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(c), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 22. Hydrocodone/APAP is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(J), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. Hydrocodone is a narcotic pain reliever.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(April 7, 2009, Conviction for Possession of Controlled Substance on March 2, 2009)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), on the grounds that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to her qualifications, functions and duties as a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

6

11 12

10

13 14

16

15

1718

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

Carmen Linda Calvin, Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, North County

Division, case number CN258727, Respondent was convicted by her plea of guilty to violating

Business and Professions Code section 4060 (unlawful possession of controlled substancewithout a prescription), a misdemeanor.

b. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on or about March 2, 2009, the

On April 7, 2009, in a criminal case entitled *The People of the State of California v*.

Vons Pharmacy in Escondido received a telephone voice message, allegedly from a doctor's employee, that ordered a prescription of the controlled substance Hydrocodone/APAP for Respondent. The pharmacist in charge (PIC) became suspicious of the prescription's validity because it failed to provide the necessary quantity information. The PIC checked Respondent's records at the pharmacy and discovered that the pharmacy had recently filled three prescriptions for Hydrocodone/APAP for Respondent on February 2, February 16, and February 24, 2009, as follows: the first Hydrocodone/APAP prescription for Respondent was originally called in by "Angela" from Dr. K.'s office on February 6, 2009; and, two additional telephonic prescriptions of Hydrocodone/APAP for Respondent were received, allegedly from "Amy" at Dr. K. office, on February 16 and February 24, 2009. The PIC called the prescribing doctor's office and was informed that none of the doctor's employees had telephoned in any prescriptions for Respondent other than the first prescription on February 2, 2009; and, no one named "Amy" works for Dr. K. The pharmacist notified the Escondido Police Department that he had received fraudulent telephonic prescriptions and arranged for police officers to be present when Respondent came to pick up the most recent prescription on March 2, 2009. After Respondent attempted to pick up the fraudulent prescription, she was arrested and taken into custody. The arresting officers searched Respondent's vehicle and seized a pharmacy quick reference guide which included instructions on how to fill a prescription, a credit card receipt for purchase of a prescription from the same Vons pharmacy on February 24, 2009, and an unlabeled prescription bottle that contained eight (8) Darvocet pills. The arresting officers also impounded from the pharmacy documentation containing the transactions signatures used to pick up prescriptions for

Respondent on February 6, 16, and 24, 2009, and documentation for the telephonic prescriptions for Respondent made on or about February 2, 16, and 24, and March 2, 2009.

c. On April 7, 2009, Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years of summary probation, to pay fines, and ordered to stay away from all Vons stores.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest or Fraudulent Acts: 2003 - 2009)

- 24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (f), (g) and (o), in that she engaged in acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit or corruption by fraudulently communicating directly or indirectly with a pharmacy while impersonating a doctor's employee and ordering prescriptions of controlled substances and/or dangerous drugs for herself, during the time period of 2003 through March 2009, as follows:
- 25. On or about February 16, February 24, and March 2, 2009, Respondent directly or indirectly impersonated an employee from Dr. K.'s office and telephonically prescribed for herself the controlled substance, Hydrocodone and acetaminophen, also known by the brand name, Norco. Respondent then directly or indirectly picked up, or attempted to pick up, the fraudulently prescribed controlled substance, as described above in paragraph 23 which is incorporated herein by reference.
- 26. On November 17, 2003, Respondent was terminated from her employment as a Pharmacy Technician at Costco Pharmacy due to her admitted diversion of controlled substances and/or dangerous drugs from her employer. Respondent admitted that on several occasions in or about 2003, while employed as a Pharmacy Technician at Costco Pharmacy, Respondent falsified or fabricated prescriptions for herself and for her husband by altering the refill quantities on the prescriptions that were maintained at her employer pharmacy. Respondent also admitted that she picked up the fraudulently prescribed drugs and self-administered them.

|    | 1// |
|----|-----|
| 27 | /// |

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Possession of Controlled Substances: 2003 - 2009)

27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), in that she unlawfully self-prescribed and possessed controlled substances and/or dangerous drugs without a valid prescription, in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 4060 and 4059 (a), several times during the time period of 2003 through March 2, 2009, as described above in paragraphs 23 through 26, above, which are incorporated by reference.

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Self Furnishing and Administering Controlled Substances: 2003 - 2009)

28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (h), (j) and (o), in that on several occasions between the dates of 2003 and March 2, 2009, she unlawfully and fraudulently self-prescribed and then self-administered controlled substances and/or dangerous drugs without a valid prescription, in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 4059, 4060, and 4324, and in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 11170, 11173 (a), and 11350 (a), as described above in paragraphs 23 through 26, which are incorporated herein by reference.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH
   27285, issued to Carmen L. Hartman
- 2. Ordering Carmen L. Hartman to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

27 | ///

| 1     | 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.                   |
|-------|---|
| 1     | 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.                   |
| 2     |   |
| 3 4   | DATED: 4/5/11 Inginia Herald  |
| 5     | VIRGINIA HEROLD   |
| 6     | Executive Officer  Board of Pharmacy  Department of Consumer Affairs  State of California |
| 7     | State of California  Complainant  |
| 8     |   |
| 9     | SD2010701413  |
| 10    |   |
| 11    |   |
| 12    |   |
| 13    |   |
| 14    |   |
| 15    |   |
| 16    |   |
| 17    |   |
| 18    |   |
| 19    |   |
| 20    |   |
| 21    |   |
| 22 23 |   |
| 24    |   |
| 25    |   |
| 26    |   |
| 27    |   |
| 28    |   |
|       | . 11  |